

Problem 1 : Creation/Annihilation operators

Given for all parts

- Assume we have an operator \hat{a} such that $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \hat{I}$
- Let $\hat{H} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}$

Problem (a)

Suppose Ψ_0 is a state such that $\hat{a}\Psi_0 = 0$. Show how to obtain normalized eigenstates of \hat{H} from this state.

(I will use the BraKet notation for simplicity : $\hat{a}|\Psi_0\rangle$)

Solution

We are given $\hat{a}|\Psi_0\rangle = 0$ and we want to find the normalized eigenstates of \hat{H} . Let us start by checking how \hat{H} acts on $|\Psi_0\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}|\Psi_0\rangle &= \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I} \right) |\Psi_0\rangle \\ &= \hat{a}^\dagger \underbrace{\hat{a}|\Psi_0\rangle}_{=0 \text{ (Given)}} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I} |\Psi_0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\Psi_0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}$.

Look at the Hamiltonian carefully

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}}_{=\hat{N}} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}$$

The eigenstates of \hat{N} and \hat{H} will be equivalent. Eigenvalues will differ by $\frac{1}{2}$ due to the second term $\frac{1}{2} \hat{I}$. Thus, finding the eigenstates of \hat{H} is equivalent to finding the eigenstates of \hat{N} which is called the *Number operator*.

Let's assume that $|\psi_n\rangle$ are the eigenstates of the number operator \hat{N} with the eigenvalues λ_n (Then, $\lambda_n + \frac{1}{2}$ would be the eigenvalue for the \hat{H} with the same eigenstate $|\psi_n\rangle$),

$$\hat{N}|\psi_n\rangle = \lambda_n |\psi_n\rangle \quad , \quad \hat{H}|\psi_n\rangle = \left(\lambda_n + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\psi_n\rangle$$

Now, we will do some magic using the \hat{N} along with \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a} operators. The magic is due to the following commutation relations which we can use later to prove some powerful statements.

- Commutation relation between \hat{N} and \hat{a}

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{a}] = [\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}, \hat{a}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \underbrace{[\hat{a}, \hat{a}]}_{=0} = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] \hat{a} = -\hat{I} \hat{a} = -\hat{a}$$

- Commutation relation between \hat{N} and \hat{a}^\dagger

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = [\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \underbrace{[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger]}_{=0} \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \hat{a}^\dagger [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{1} = \hat{1} \hat{a}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger$$

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{a}] = -\hat{a} \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{N}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \hat{a}^\dagger$$

We know that $|\psi_n\rangle$ are the eigenstates of the operator \hat{N} . We want to check two things,

1. The state $\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of \hat{N} with the eigenvalue $(\lambda_n + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}(\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) &= (\hat{N}\hat{a}^\dagger) |\psi_n\rangle = (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{N} + \hat{a}^\dagger) |\psi_n\rangle = (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{N} |\psi_n\rangle + \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) \\ &= (\lambda_n \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle + \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n + 1) \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved that $\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of \hat{N} with an eigenvalue $\lambda_n + 1$. (This is where \hat{a}^\dagger gets the name *creation operator*)

2. The state $\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle$ is also an eigenstate of \hat{N} with the eigenvalue $\lambda_n - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}(\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle) &= (\hat{N}\hat{a}) |\psi_n\rangle = (\hat{a}\hat{N} - \hat{a}) |\psi_n\rangle = (\hat{a}\hat{N} |\psi_n\rangle - \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle) \\ &= (\lambda_n \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle - \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n - 1) \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved that $\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{N} with an eigenvalue $\lambda_n - 1$. (This where \hat{a} gets the name *destruction/annihilation operator*)

$$\hat{N}(\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n + 1) |\psi_{n+1}\rangle, \quad \hat{N}(\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n - 1) |\psi_{n-1}\rangle,$$

Using the two results from above, we can make the following conclusions,

1. $\hat{N}(\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n + 1) |\psi_n\rangle$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle &\propto |\psi_{n+1}\rangle \\ &= c_+ |\psi_{n+1}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(not ' $= |\psi_{n+1}\rangle$ ' because $\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle$ need not be normalized)

2. $\hat{N}(\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle) = (\lambda_n - 1) |\psi_n\rangle$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle &\propto |\psi_{n-1}\rangle \\ &= c_- |\psi_{n-1}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(not ' $= |\psi_{n-1}\rangle$ ' because $\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle$ need not be normalized)

$$\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle = c_+ |\psi_{n+1}\rangle, \quad \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle = c_- |\psi_{n-1}\rangle$$

Now, we will use the normalization condition to find the proportionality constant for the two equations above

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_n \rangle &= \langle \psi_n | \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_n \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_n | (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{I}) \psi_n \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_n | (\hat{N} + \hat{I}) \psi_n \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_n | (\lambda_n + 1) \psi_n \rangle \\
 &= (\lambda_n + 1) \underbrace{\langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle}_{=1} \\
 \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger \psi_n \rangle &= (\lambda_n + 1) \\
 \underbrace{c_+ c_+^*}_{\|c_+\|^2} \underbrace{\langle \psi_{n+1} | \psi_{n+1} \rangle}_{=1} &= (\lambda_n + 1) \\
 \|c_+\| &= \sqrt{\lambda_n + 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{a} \psi_n | \hat{a} \psi_n \rangle &= \langle \psi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \psi_n \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_n | \hat{N} \psi_n \rangle \\
 \underbrace{c_- c_-^*}_{\|c_-\|^2} \underbrace{\langle \psi_{n-1} | \psi_{n-1} \rangle}_{=1} &= \lambda_n \underbrace{\langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle}_{=1} \\
 \|c_-\| &= \sqrt{\lambda_n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following two crucial results

$$\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_n + 1} |\psi_{n+1}\rangle, \quad \hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_n} |\psi_{n-1}\rangle$$

Which can also be rearranged as follows

$$|\psi_{n+1}\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n + 1}}, \quad |\psi_{n-1}\rangle = \frac{\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}$$

We can go a step ahead and act with \hat{a}^\dagger on $|\psi_{n-1}\rangle$ s

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_{n-1}\rangle &= \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger (\hat{a} |\psi_n\rangle)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \\
 &= \frac{\hat{N} |\psi_n\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \frac{\lambda_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} |\psi_n\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_n} |\psi_n\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Which can be rearranged as

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_{n-1}\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}$$

We will use the last formula in the previous box to substitute $|\psi_n\rangle$ in the formula for $|\psi_{n+1}\rangle$ (2nd line in the previous box)

$$|\psi_{n+1}\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n + 1}} = \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger}{\sqrt{\lambda_n + 1}} \cdot \frac{\hat{a}^\dagger |\psi_{n-1}\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = \dots = \frac{(\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n+1} |\psi_0\rangle}{\sqrt{(\lambda_n + 1)!}}$$

Going from $n + 1 \rightarrow k$, we also go from $\lambda_n + 1 \rightarrow \lambda_k$ we can write

$$|\psi_k\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}^\dagger)^k |\psi_0\rangle}{\sqrt{(\lambda_k)!}}$$

(Where we can replace k by a n wlog)

This means that, if we know $|\psi_0\rangle$ then you can find any $|\psi_n\rangle$.

Let's calculate the lowest possible eigenstate $|\psi_0\rangle$

$$\hat{a} |\psi_0\rangle = 0$$

$$(\hat{x} + i\hat{p}) |\psi_0\rangle = 0$$

$$\left(\hat{x} |\psi_0\rangle + \frac{i}{i} \frac{d}{dx} |\psi_0\rangle \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{d |\psi_0\rangle}{|\psi_0\rangle} = -x dx$$

Integrate both sides

$$\ln |\psi_0\rangle = -\frac{x^2}{2} + \mathcal{N}$$

$$|\psi_0\rangle = N \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)$$

Normalize this to get $N = \frac{1}{(\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}}}$

Therefore,

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{(\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

Comment

A quick check that the expectation values of this number operator are positive,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{N} \rangle_{|\phi_n\rangle} &= \langle \phi_n | \hat{N} | \phi_n \rangle = \alpha_n \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} | \phi_n \rangle\end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\text{if we define } \hat{a} |\phi_n\rangle = |\gamma_n\rangle \quad \text{then} \quad \langle \phi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger = \langle \gamma_n |$$

Therefore from this equality,

$$\langle \phi_n | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} | \phi_n \rangle = \alpha_n \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle$$

We can change the LHS using the definition right above and get,

$$\langle \gamma_n | \gamma_n \rangle = \alpha_n \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle$$

By definition,

$$\langle \gamma_n | \gamma_n \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle \geq 0$$

which implies

$$\alpha_n \geq 0$$